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# PSEUDO-TWO-COMPONENT NOTATION FOR HEPTANE/ALCOHOL/WATER SYSTEMS IN COUNTERCURRENT CHROMATOGRAPHY 

WALTER D. CONWAY<br>School of Pharmacy<br>State University of New York at Buffalo<br>565 Hochstetter Hall<br>Amherst, New York 14260


#### Abstract

Three-component two-phase systems are widely used in countercurrent chromatography. For chromatographic applications, it is advantageous to specify their composition by volume using pseudo-two-component notation. Thus the composition Heptane/2PrOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-50: 20: 30$ is expressed as Heptane/40\% 2-PrOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-1: 1$. The information expressed in a typical phase diagram can be reduced to a table of the phase compositions of several conveniently chosen pseudo-two-component systems. The table facilitates screening partition coefficients in small volume systems and simplifies preparation of 1 arge volumes of phases in the quantities desired for preparative countercurrent chromatography.


## INTRODUCTION ${ }^{1}$

Three-component two-phase systems provide some of the most versatile approaches to separation by countercurrent chromatography, CCC. Specification of system compositions by volume is adequate and more convenient for routine use in CCC, though it neglects the small contraction which often occurs on admixture of miscible liquids.


FIGURE 1. General features of ternary phase diagrams

## Ternary Diagrams

Phase equilibria of multicomponent solvent mixtures have been extensively studied by Francis. ${ }^{2}$ Three-component mixtures are conveniently summarized on an equilateral triangular plot, the general features of which are summarized in Fig. 1. The majority of systems are of the simple binodal or "bite" type illustrated here. ${ }^{2}$

The three system components, $W, S$ and $M$ are indicated at the apexes of the triangle. The two immiscible components, $W$, water in this example, and $S$, a solvent essentially immiscible with water, are placed at the base of the triangle. The third component, $M, a$ solvent miscible with both $W$ and $S$ is represented at the upper apex. The distance from one side of the triangle to the opposite apex represents $100 \%$ of the component at the apex, e.g. distance aM represents $100 \% \mathrm{M}$ as illustrated by the scale to the left of Fig. 1A. Any point within the triangle represents a three-component mixture, containing a percentage of $M$ indicated by its distance from the triangle base as measured with this scale. For instance, points $f, d, b$ and $c$ contain respectively $8,15,18$ and $38 \% \mathrm{M}$.

Percentages of components $S$ and $W$ are measured using the same scale, with zero applied to the side opposite the apex. The sum of all three percentages will always be 100 . Two-component mixtures lie on the triangle sides. Point a is $50 \% \mathrm{~S}$ and $50 \% \mathrm{~W}$. Point $g$ indicates that about $3 \% S$ is soluble in $W$ and point $h$ indicates that about $4 \% \mathrm{~W}$ is soluble in S .

All compositions in the miscible region, above the solubility curve, form single phase systems. Mixtures below the solubility curve separate into two phases, having compositions on opposite sides of the solubility curve. For instance, composition $b$ forms $a$ phase of composition e containing about $70 \%$ water, and a phase of composition f, containing about $86 \%$ S. The phase compositions, e and $f$, are connected by a straight line, called a tie line, which also passes through the system composition point $b$. The relative volume of each phase is given by the "lever rule", which states that each phase volume is proportional to the length of the tie line segment opposite the point b. In other words, in Fig. IA, $V_{1} / V_{2}=b f / b e$, or $V_{1} /\left(V_{1}+V_{2}\right)=b f / e f$. All system compositions, such as $d$, which lie on the same tie line generate the same two phase compositions, albeit in different relative amounts; they therefore provide identical CCC systems.

The relationships of tie lines varies among phase systems. The pattern of progressively increasing slope shown in Fig. 1B is often seen. The tie lines become shorter as they approach the solubility curve, until the two phases become identical in composition at the plait point. The solubility curve becomes discontinuous at the plait point, where a single phase is obtained.

## Variation of K with System Composition

If a solute is partitioned between the phases of a series of system compositions along the dotted line in Fig. 1B, the partition coefficient, $K$, will progress from its value in the binary system $W$ $+S$ toward unity at the plait point. $K=$ concentration in one phase/concentration in other phase. Theoretically, all solutes should have $K$ nearly equal to unity in the vicinity of the plait
point, because the phase compositions are nearly identical. While, in practice, a general trend toward unity is seen, the selectivity, $\alpha=K_{2} / K_{1}$, observed for two solutes is often adequate at particular system compositions for a separation to be achieved by CCC.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Solvents employed for measurement of phase volumes and partition coefficients were HPLC grade.

The following equations were used to convert phase equilibrium data published on a mole fraction basis to a volume basis:

$$
V_{W}=\frac{X_{W} M_{W}}{\rho_{W}}=18 x_{W}, V_{m}=\frac{x_{m} M_{m}}{\rho_{m}}, V_{s}=\frac{X_{S} M_{S}}{\rho_{S}}
$$

where $V, X, M$ and $\rho$ refer to volume, mole fraction, molecular weight and density respectively. The subscripts $w, m$, and $s$ refer to water, and the miscible and immiscible solvent components respectively. Volume percentages, $\mathrm{p}^{\vee}$, were then obtained from

$$
P_{W} v=\frac{100 V_{W}}{V_{T}}, P_{m} v=\frac{100 V_{m}}{V_{T}}, P_{S} v=\frac{100 V_{S}}{V_{T}}
$$

where the total volume $V_{T}=V_{W}+V_{m}+V_{S}$. Densities of 0.7812 and 0.6795 were used for $2-\mathrm{PrOH}$ and heptane respectively.

Benzyl alcohol , 2-phenyl ethanol and 3-phenyl propanol were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. Stock solutions containing 5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of each compound were prepared in aqueous solutions containing various amounts of 2-propanol. Dilutions of the stock solutions were assayed by HPLC; Alltech C-18 econosil-10 $\mu$ column, $20 \mu 1$ injection, solvent system $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in water, flow $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$, monitored at 254 nm . Two-m1 aliquots of the stock solutions were gently shaken for 30 min . with equal volumes of heptane and clarified by centrifugation. Aliquots, $20 \mu 1$, of the aqueous layers were assayed by HPLC. Peak heights for the partitioned aqueous layers were compared to the heights of corresponding peaks for the diluted stock solutions to calculate the partition
coefficients as

$$
\mathrm{K}_{N}{ }^{\mathrm{u}}=\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}} / \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{Ha}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{p}}}
$$

where $H_{a, d}$ is the HPLC peak height for the aqueous stock solution diluted by the factor $d=$ vol. before dilution/vol. after dilution and $H_{a, p}$ is the peak height for the aqueous phase after partitioning. The superscript $u$ in $K_{N}{ }^{u}$ signifies that the partition coefficient is not corrected for any volume shift on mixing the aqueous and organic phases which had not been mutually saturated previously. The result may be corrected for the volume shift as follows, using the $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ values in Table $1 .{ }^{3}$

$$
K_{N}=\frac{0.5 K_{N}{ }^{u}+0.5-f_{a}^{f}}{1-f_{a}^{f}}
$$

## DISCUSSION

## Pseudo-Two-Component Notation

The phase diagram for Heptane/2-PrOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Fig. 2, was calculated from molar values summarized by Sorensen and Arlt from data reported by Vorobeva and Karapetyants. 4,5 Its general features are the same as those discussed for Fig. 1. The line drawn parallel to the left side of the triangle defines a series of systems which can be prepared by mixing a volume of heptane with equal volumes of an aqueous solution of propanol in water. The numbers along the line specify the composition of the aqueous solution in units of \% 2-propanol by volume.

An alternate notation for these systems is readily illustrated with the system designated $40 \%$, as indicated in Fig. 2. In conventional 3-component notation, this system composition is specified as Heptane/2-PrOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0-50: 20: 30(v / v / v)$. But, if the 20 volumes of 2-PrOH and 30 volumes of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are combined and represented as 50 volumes of $40 \% 2-\mathrm{PrOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the system composition can then be expressed as Heptane/40\% 2-PrOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-1:1
TABLE 1.
Phase Compositions for Heptane/Aqueous 2-PrOH-1:1(v/v) Systems based on Fig. 2 for the Heptane/2-PrOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ System at $25^{\circ}$
Conjugate Phase Compositions by Volume

| Organic Phase |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heptane | 2 -PrOH | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
|  |  |  |

 $f_{a}{ }^{f}=V_{a}{ }^{f} /\left(V_{a}{ }^{f}+V_{o}{ }^{f}\right)$, final aqueous fraction, after equilibration of initial volumes of heptane and aqueous 2-PrOH solutions in 1:1 ratio.


FIGURE 2. Phase diagram for Heptane/2- $\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Calculated from data of Vorbeva and Karapetyants as summarized by Sorensen and Arlt. 2,3
$(v / v)$. This alternate terminology may be called pseudo-twocomponent notation.

Tabulation of Ternary Systems
Use of pseudo-two-component notation facilitates the tabulation of ternary systems. On a large-scale plot of Fig. 2, tie lines passing through a convenient series of pseudo-twocomponent systems were drawn by interpolation between known tie lines. By reading the phase compositions at the point of intersection of the interpolated tie lines and the solubility curve, Table l, listing the phase compositions to an accuracy of about $0.5 \%$, was constructed. The table effectively summarizes the information presented in the phase diagram. The table is much easier to apply to CCC, and its use does not require an understanding of the phase diagram.

In addition to the phase compositions, values of the phase volume ratio, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{f}}$, are tabulated. This is a measurement of the final aqueous fraction, $V_{a}{ }^{f} /\left(V_{a}{ }^{f}+V_{0}{ }^{f}\right)$, after initial volumes, $V_{a}{ }^{i}$ and $V_{0}{ }^{i}$, of aqueous 2-PrOH and heptane are equilibrated. The values in the column headed "meas. directly" were obtained by direct measurement of the final volumes after equilibration of $5-\mathrm{ml}$ volumes of aqueous propanol and heptane. Under the heading "from Fig. $2^{\prime \prime}$ are listed values of $f_{a}{ }^{f}$ calculated from the tie-line segment lengths for interpolated tie-lines on Fig. 2. There is good agreement between the two series of values. Not all phase systems lend themselves to expression as $1: 1$ systems. The $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ system is more conveniently summarized as $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{Aq}$. MeOH-4:6 systems. ${ }^{3,6}$

## Use of Table 1 for Solvent Screening

For CCC with the multilayer coil planet centrifuge, it is convenient to adjust the partition coefficient for the component of interest to lie in the range of about 0.5 to 5 , ideally in the vicinity of 1.0 . To survey the effect of solvent system composition on a small scale, a few mg of solute can be partitioned between one or two ml of each phase, followed by assay of the phases by spectrophotometry or other means. 7 For the Heptane/2$\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ system, a series of equal volumes of aqueous 10,20 and $30 \% 2-\mathrm{PrOH}$, and heptane can be used. Since the final aqueous fractions, $f_{a}{ }^{f}$, range from 0.45 to 0.50 , the effect of the volume shift can be neglected for practical work. If more accuracy is desired, either correction factors can be applied, ${ }^{3}$ or a slightly larger volume of each solution can be mutually presaturated and exactly $1-\mathrm{ml}$ of each equilibrated phase removed for the partitioning studies.

## Use of Table 1 to Mix Large Volumes for CCC

On a large scale, it is convenient to use Table 1 to prepare appropriate volumes of each phase for chromatography. For example, to operate a 300 ml column with a stationary organic phase, it may be desired to prepare 500 ml of organic phase and 1000 ml of


FIGURE 3. Partition coefficients for phenylalkanols in the Heptane/Aqueous 2-PrOH-1:1 systems. Aqueous phase assayed by HPLC before and after equilibration with heptane. $K_{N}=c \neq n c$. in nonaq. phase/conc. in aq. phase. Values corrected using $f_{a}{ }^{f}$ values from Table 1.
aqueous phase. Using the $30 \%$ 2-PrOH in water/Heptane-1:1 system as an example, the phase compositions from Table 1 are multiplied by 5 and 10 respectively to prepare the desired volumes of each phase as summarized here:

| Component | Aqueous Phase | Organic Phase | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table \% ml | Table \% ml | ml |
| Heptane | $0.5 \times 10=5$ | $97 \times 5=485$ | 490 |
| $2-\mathrm{PrOH}$ | $27.5 \times 10=275$ | $3 \times 5=15$ | 290 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\underline{72.0} \times 10=\underline{720}$ | 0 | 720 |
| Total | 1001000 | 100500 | 1500 |

The volumes in the total columns, when mixed in a separatory funnel, will separate to give 1000 ml of aqueous phase and 500 ml of organic phase. One should not presume that perfectly equilibrated individual phases can be mixed directly from the table without actual equilibration with the other phase. To allow for errors in constructing the table and in measuring the volumes and for variations in temperature, the total mixture should be equilibrated.

Should additional mobile phase be needed during the run, it is sufficient to prepare more mobile phase from the tabulated composition and equilibrate it against excess stationary phase prepared earlier or displaced from the column in the initial stage of development.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical assistance of R.H. Kosakowski in measuring the phase volume ratios and of A.M. Sarlo in measuring the partition coefficients is gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported by SBIR Grant No. R44-RR03167-03, NCI, NIH to P.C. Inc.

## REFERENCES

1. Presented as poster no. 989a, Pittsburgh Conference, Atlanta, GA, March 6-10, 1989.
2. Francis, A.W., Liquid-Liquid Equilibriums, Interscience Publishers, NY, 1963.
3. Conway, W.D., Countercurrent Chromatography Fundamentals, VCH Publishers, NY, 1990.
4. Sorensen, J.M. and Arlt, W., Liquid-Liquid Equilibrium Data Collection, Ternary Systems, Chemistry Data Series, Vol. V, part 2, Dechema, Frankfurt/Main, 1979.
5. Vorbeva, A.I. and Karapetyants, M.K.H., Zh. Fiz. Khim., 41, 1984 (1967).
6. Conway, W.D., Use of ternary phase systems in countercurrent chromatography. Paper 968, Pittsburgh Conference, New Orleans, LA, Feb. 22-26 (1988).
7. Conway, W.D. and Ito, Y., Solvent selection for countercurrent chromatography by rapid estimation of partition coefficients and application to polar conjugates of p-nitrophenol. J. Liq. Chromatog., 1, 275-289 (1984).
